

Recent Advances in the Field of Trade Theory and Policy Analysis Using Micro-Level Data

July 2012

Bangkok, Thailand

Cosimo Beverelli and Nadia Rocha
(World Trade Organization)

Content

- a) Basic regression in Stata (see “ols.do”)
- b) Panel data regressions in Stata (see “panel.do”)

a) Basic regression in Stata

- Stata's regress command runs a simple OLS regression
 - *Regress depvar indepvar1 indepvar2 ..., options*
- Always use the option robust to ensure that the covariance estimator can handle heteroskedasticity of unknown form
- Usually apply the cluster option and specify an appropriate level of clustering to account for correlation within groups
- Rule of thumb: apply cluster to the most aggregated level of variables in the model
 - Example: In a model with data by city, state, and country, cluster by country

b) Panel data regressions in Stata

- Fixed effects (within) estimation
- Brute force OLS
- LSDV
- Random effects
- Testing for fixed vs. random effects

Fixed effects (within) estimation

- A variety of commands are available for estimating fixed effects regressions
- The most efficient method is the fixed effects regression (within estimation), *xtreg*
- Stata's *xtreg* command is purpose built for panel data regressions
- Use the *fe* option to specify fixed effects
- Make sure to set the panel dimension before using the *xtreg* command, using *xtset*
- For example:
 - *xtset countries* sets up the panel dimension as countries
 - *xtreg depvar indepvar1 indepvar2 ..., fe* runs a regression with fixed effects by country
- Hint: *xtset* cannot work with string variables, so use (e.g.) *egen countries = group(country)* to convert string categories to numbers

Fixed effects (within) estimation (ct'd)

- As with `regress`, always specify the robust option with `xtreg`
- `xtreg, robust` will automatically correct for clustering at the level of the panel variable (firms in the previous example)
- Note that `xtreg` can only include fixed effects in one dimension. For additional dimensions, enter the dummies manually (see slide 8)

Brute force OLS

- The fixed effects can enter as dummies in a standard regression (brute force OLS)
 - *Regress depvar indepvar1 indepvar2 ... dum1 dum2 ..., options*
 - Specify *dum** to include all dummy variables with the same stem
- Stata automatically excludes one dummy if a constant is retained in the model
- With the same clustering specification, results should be identical between regress with dummy variables and *xtreg, fe*

Brute force OLS (ct'd)

- To create dummy variables based on categories of another variable, use the `tabulate` command with the `gen()` option
- For example:
 - *Quietly tabulate country, gen(ctry_dum_)*
 - Will produce `ctry_dum_1`, `ctry_dum_2`, etc. automatically
 - Then *regress depvar indepvar1 indepvar2 ... ctry_dum_*, robust cluster()*
- Or you can use the *i.varname* command to create dummies
 - *regress depvar indepvar1 indepvar2 ... i.country, robust cluster()*

LSDV

- The least-squares dummy variable (LSDV) estimator estimates the model without the within transformation and with the inclusion of N individual dummy variables
 - *areg depvar indepvar1 indepvar2 ... , absorb(varname) robust cluster()*
 - where *varname* is the categorical variable to be absorbed

Random effect estimation

- By specifying the *re* option, *xtreg* can also estimate random effects models
 - *xtreg depvar indepvar1 indepvar2 ..., re vce(robust)*
- As for the fixed effects model, you need to specify *xtset* first
 - *xtset countries*
 - *xtreg depvar indepvar1 indepvar2 ..., robust re*
 - Runs a regression with random effects by country
- Fixed and random effects can be included in the same model by including dummy variables
- An alternative that can also be used for multiple dimensions of random effects is *xtmixed* (outside our scope)

Testing for fixed vs. random effects

- The fixed effects model always gives consistent estimates whether the data generating process is fixed or random effects, but random effects is more efficient in the latter case
- The random effects model only gives consistent estimates if the data generating process is random effects
- Intuitively, if random effects estimates are very close to fixed effects estimates, then using random effects is probably an appropriate simplification
- If the estimates are very different, then fixed effects should be used

Testing for fixed vs. random effects (ct'd)

- The Hausman test exploits this intuition
- To run it:
 - `xtreg ... , fe`
 - estimates store fixed
 - `xtreg ..., re`
 - estimates store random
 - `hausman fixed random`
- If the test statistic is large, reject the null hypothesis that random effects is an appropriate simplification
- Caution: the Hausman test has poor properties empirically and you can only run it on fixed and random effects estimates that do not include the robust option
- The *xtoverid* test (after `xtreg, fe`) should always be preferred to the Hausman test because it allows for cluster-robust standard errors