Session 2: Consolidation and Best Practice

Ben Shepherd

Trade Economist & International Development Consultant www.Developing-Trade.com

ARTNeT Capacity Building Workshop for Trade Research: "Behind the Border" Gravity Modeling

Friday, September 25, 2009

Outline



- 2 The Gravity Model–From Theory to Empirics
- Oata and "Behind the Border" Gravity Modeling



- Gravity modeling started as a sensible, intuitive way of understanding the factors that influence bilateral trade.
- The basic intuition is sound, and captures some important stylized facts that are present in the data.
- However, the basic model is deficient in a number of respects. In broad terms, its deficiencies can be said to relate to its failure to take account of general equilibrium effects.

- In addition to its strong empirics, the gravity model now also stands on solid theoretical foundations.
- Anderson and Van Wincoop (2003, 2004) present a general microeconomic framework incorporating monopolistic competition. They show that it can be used to derive a gravity-like equation.
- Other contributions have shown that similar equations can be derived from a wide range of theoretical models. However, as more features are introduced, the model usually becomes more complex.

- The AvW model brings gravity more closely into contact with the general equilibrium nature of trade in a many country world.
- It emphasizes that it is relative prices that matter, and thus, relative trade costs.
- AvW's theoretical model introduces two new unobservable terms into gravity:
 - Outward multilateral resistance: Exports from i to j depend on all barriers faced by i's exporters;
 - Inward multilateral resistance: Exports from i to j depend on all barriers faced by j's importers.

- Including multilateral resistance terms in the model makes it possible to:
 - Highlight the importance of relative price effects;
 - Account for the fact that trade costs between i and j can affect trade with third parties;
 - Account for the fact that trade costs with third parties can affect trade between i and j.
- All of these effects are highly intuitive and theoretically grounded. But they are absent from the basic (traditional) gravity model.

The Gravity Model–From Theory to Empirics

- The AvW model also has important implications for the way in which empirical researchers estimate and interpret gravity models.
- These implications cover:
 - Data;
 - Estimation methods.

- Traditional gravity models used various combinations of import and export data.
- The AvW model suggests that what we want is unidirectional trade, i.e. treat exports from i to j as one observation, and exports from j to i as another observation.
- Using total trade (exports + imports) or averaging over exports and imports generally does not fit with the theory.

- AvW's multilateral resistance terms effectively take care of the question of deflating prices, whether we are talking about trade values, GDP, etc.
- Thus, it is appropriate to use value data in nominal terms...
- Provided, of course, that we properly take account of multilateral resistance when estimating the model.

- Although the AvW MR terms are effectively price indices, they cannot be directly observed. They do not correspond to common measures of prices, such as CPI, PPI, etc.
- Some traditional gravity models used atheoretical "remoteness" indices to capture effects similar to those captured by the MR terms. However, the MR terms are defined in a very precise way, and are generally not equivalent to remoteness indices used elsewhere.
- In addition to the exclusion of price and remoteness terms, AvW theory suggests that per capita GDP should probably not be systematically included in gravity models.
 - If you are interested in income effects, justify this variable explicitly via a theory!

- The AvW MR terms are unobservable.
- In econometric terms, they represent a particular manifestation of the more general problem of unobserved heterogeneity.
- Panel data methods are particularly well-suited to dealing with such problems.

- The most common panel data method in the gravity context is the fixed effects estimator.
- It is usually preferred to random effects estimation because:
 - It imposes less structure on the data;
 - Is simple and transparent to estimate, even in multiple dimensions.
- The main disadvantage of fixed effects estimation is that it makes it impossible to separately identify variables that are collinear with the fixed effects.

- Fixed effects models need to be set up so as to include fixed effects that vary in the same dimensions as the MR terms they are supposed to be capturing.
- In a basic model using a single year of data on total trade (all products), fixed effects in the exporter dimension and the importer dimension are appropriate.
- In a total trade model with more than one year of data, fixed effects in the exporter-year, importer-year, and year dimensions are required.

- In a sectoral model with a single year of data, fixed effects in the exporter-sector, importer-sector, and sector dimensions are appropriate. In addition, trade cost variables should be interacted with sector dummies, to allow for possible changes in the intra-sectoral elasticity of substitution.
- Alternatively, sectoral models can be estimated individually for each sector, with fixed effects by exporter and importer.

- If sectoral data and multiple years are used, fixed effects by exporter-sector-year, importer-sector-year, and sector-year are required. Interactions between sector dummies and trade costs are again required.
- Again, an alternative is to estimate sectoral models individually, with fixed effects by exporter-year, importer-year, and year.

- In general terms, the gravity literature is going more and more towards sectoral models.
- To make these models "talk", think about using sectoral disaggregations that are of particular relevance for the research question being studied:
 - Djankov et al. (2008) look at time sensitive products, using the Hummels classification;
 - Helble et al. (2008) look at differentiated vs. homogeneous goods, using the Levinsohn classification.
- In sectoral models, issues of aggregation and clustering are particularly important: make sure that results are robust to different assumptions regarding the errors, and to different levels of aggregation.

Data and "Behind the Border" Gravity Modeling

- Traditionally, data accessibility has been a major constraint for applied researchers in this area, particularly in a development context.
- This constraint is getting looser by the year:
 - Data available for free through the web: World Bank, UNCTAD, UN Comtrade, NBER trade and tariff data, ComExt, Doing Business, Enterprise Surveys, ITC Market Access Tools, ...
 - Datasets made available by other researchers: for a good deal of policy work, it is no longer necessary to reinvent the wheel for basic data (distance, colony dummies, language, country groups, etc.)
- Theory makes some clear suggestions regarding data, but applied research is always a compromise between theoretical purity and analytical tractability/feasibility.

Data and "Behind the Border" Gravity Modeling

- Some of the most interesting applications of gravity in recent years have been to behind the border barriers:
 - Djankov et al.: export/import times
 - Ranjan Lee: contract enforcement
 - Anderson Marcouiller: corruption and insecurity
 - Manova: financial sector development and credit constraints
 - Freund/Weinhold: internet availability
 - HMR: costs of domestic market entry (indirectly...)
 - Moenius, Chen/Mattoo, (and me): product standards and harmonization.

Data and "Behind the Border" Gravity Modeling

- WMO started the trade facilitation ball rolling with a set of very broad indicators that arguably capture much broader features of the economy than just TF.
- More recently, the literature seems to be going towards more focused, objective measures:
 - Doing Business
 - Blonigen & (Wesley, not John) Wilson: measures of port efficiency
 - Hummels: direct measures of trade and transport costs
 - Corruption is also moving towards firm level data on bribe prevalence and amount.

Conclusion

- Best practice is changing all the time. Here is my attempt at a rough guide.
- Data and estimation: basic issues-
 - It's fine to start with the basic gravity model, but make sure you don't end with it.
 - At a minimum, take account of the implications of the AvW model: estimate using fixed effects.
 - Look for interesting sectoral splits in the data, and estimate a separate model for each sector.

Conclusion

- Data and estimation: advanced issues–
 - Compare OLS and fixed effects estimates with Poisson, whether zeros are a big issue or not.
 - If zeros are an issue, compare Poisson and Heckman.
 - If possible, try to identify strong and exogenous instruments for policy variables, then estimate by TSLS.
- Complementarities:
 - If applicable, try using inverse gravity to obtain a simple "story" that supports your main claims.
 - If firm-level data are available in the area you're researching, they can be an effective way to buttress gravity findings.

Conclusion

- Technical prowess is important, but it's not enough to write a good paper.
- The returns are particularly high to:
 - Thinking long and hard about the research question you are asking, and whether or not gravity is the right tool.
 - Spending a lot of time with your dataset to assess its strengths and weaknesses, and to look for simple evidence of the relations you expect to see.
 - If possible, identifying complementary methods you can use to support your results.