

Session 2: Estimating the Basic Gravity Model

Ben Shepherd

Principal, Developing Trade Consultants Ltd.

ARTNeT Capacity Building Workshop for Trade Research:
Gravity Modeling

Monday, August 23, 2010

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Gravity Model as a Regression Problem
 - Setting up the Problem
 - Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator
 - Making OLS “Talk”
- 3 Summary

Introduction

- We have seen that the basic elements of the gravity model accord with economic sense, and agree with some important stylized facts.
- However, our analysis was only based on bivariate analysis, i.e. not controlling for the impact of GDP when assessing the impact of distance, and vice versa.
- In this session, we look at how to estimate the basic model more rigorously. We then look at how theory influences estimation.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Gravity Model as a Regression Problem
 - Setting up the Problem
 - Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator
 - Making OLS “Talk”
- 3 Summary

Setting up the Problem

- Recall the log-linear gravity model discussed in the previous session:

$$\log(X_{ij}) = b_0 + b_1 \log(Y_i) + b_2 \log(Y_j) + b_3 \log(d_{ij}) + e_{ij}$$

$$b_1, b_2 > 0; b_3 < 0$$

- By taking the model to the data, we would like to find out the following:
 - How well do distance and GDP explain bilateral trade flows?
 - Do the data support the expected coefficient signs?
 - How sensitive is bilateral trade to distance and GDP, controlling for the simultaneous influence of the other?

Setting up the Problem

The Gravity Model

$$\log(X_{ij}) = b_0 + b_1 \log(Y_i) + b_2 \log(Y_j) + b_3 \log(d_{ij}) + e_{ij}$$

- To get the information we want from the data, we need a method for estimating the b parameters.
- One sensible candidate, and the usual place to start, is ordinary least squares (OLS):
 - Choose b_0 , b_1 , and b_2 so as to minimize the sum of squared errors $\sum_i \sum_j e_{ij}^2$.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **The Gravity Model as a Regression Problem**
 - Setting up the Problem
 - **Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator**
 - Making OLS “Talk”
- 3 Summary

Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator

- The solution to the OLS minimization problem, $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ is not just a sensible set of slope coefficients, in the sense of satisfying an intuitive criterion.
- Under specific assumptions as to the properties of the random error term \mathbf{E} , the OLS estimator also has some very useful statistical properties.
- We will make extensive use of these properties to:
 - Argue that our estimates are reasonable and reliable; and
 - To conduct formal tests of interesting economic hypotheses.

Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator

- Specifically, OLS is consistent, unbiased, and efficient as an estimator of \mathbf{B} if the following conditions hold:
 - None of the dependent variables are perfectly correlated (multicollinearity).
 - \mathbf{E} is an independently distributed normal error with mean zero, and with constant variance (homoskedasticity).
 - The underlying model relating the dependent and independent variables is linear.
 - \mathbf{E} is uncorrelated with any of the independent variables.
- If these conditions hold, we can be confident that our estimates are reliable, and that hypothesis tests are informative. If they do not, we cannot!

Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator

- If the OLS assumptions hold, we can also use the model for hypothesis testing:
 - The estimated coefficients approximately normally distributed, with standard errors that can be easily calculated.
 - We can test hypotheses on a particular variable using its t-statistic.
 - We can test compound linear hypotheses (more than one variable) using the F-statistic.

Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator

- We can use $R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSR}{TSS}$ as a simple measure of how well the model “fits” the data:
 - A higher score is better. (SSR is the sum of squared residuals, and TSS is total sum of squares.)
 - Interpret it as the percentage of observed variation in the dependent variable that is accounted for by the model.
- Ramsey’s RESET test is a good workhorse test for model specification (possible non-linearities) and omission of important variables:
 - Get fitted values from the gravity model, $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$.
 - Calculate $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^2$, $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^3$, and $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^4$.
 - Include them as additional regressors, and check joint significance using an F-test.
 - H_0 : the model is correctly specified. A large test statistic indicates a problem.

Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator

- Not all violations of the OLS conditions are equal:
 - Heteroskedasticity is usually relatively minor, and easily dealt with: always use a “robust” estimator for the variance-covariance matrix. But we will investigate a situation later where it is much more sinister...
 - One type of correlation in the error terms can be fixed by using the “cluster ()” option, and specifying the highest level of data aggregation.
 - Perfect multicollinearity rarely arises in practice, although it can have some implications in panel data models with fixed effects.
- For the purposes of this workshop, we will be spending the most time dealing with violations of the last two conditions: they have serious consequences (bias and inconsistency), and are much harder to fix.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Gravity Model as a Regression Problem
 - Setting up the Problem
 - Statistical Properties of the OLS Estimator
 - Making OLS “Talk”
- 3 Summary

Making OLS Talk

- We have seen where OLS comes from, and have gotten a first taste of when it can be most useful.
- Let's use STATA to estimate a very simple gravity model by OLS, and then focus on interpreting the results (making it “talk”).
- We are interested in:
 - Giving economic interpretations to parameter estimates;
 - Testing simple (one parameter) hypotheses;
 - Testing compound (multiple parameter) hypotheses; and
 - Assessing how well the model fits the data.

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

- Data on worldwide, bilateral imports for 2005. Source: UN Comtrade (WITS).
- Data on history and geography (international distance, colonial links, natural barriers, language, etc.). Source: CEPII.
- Data on exporter and importer GDP. Source: World Bank (WDI).

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

Stata Output: `reg ln_trade ln_gdp_imp [etc.], robust cluster(dist)`

		Robust		
ln_imports	Coef.	Std. Err	t	P> t
ln_gdp_imp	1.030655	0.0083676	123.17	0
ln_gdp_exp	1.232748	0.008379	147.12	0
ln_dist	-1.277118	0.0244743	-52.18	0
colony	0.9585085	0.1147203	8.36	0
comcol	1.055211	0.0829653	12.72	0
comlang_off	0.9042383	0.0593294	15.24	0
contig	0.8106201	0.1359654	5.96	0
_cons	-36.79228	0.4201254	-87.57	0

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

Interpretation

Market Size Effects

		Robust		
ln_imports	Coef.	Std. Err	t	P> t
ln_gdp_imp	1.030655	0.0083676	123.17	0
ln_gdp_exp	1.232748	0.008379	147.12	0

- A 1% increase in importer size is associated with about a 1% increase in bilateral trade.
- A 1% increase in exporter size is associated with a bit over a 1% increase in bilateral trade.
- Both effects are statistically significant at the 1% level, i.e. it is unlikely that either effect is "really" zero given the data we have.

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

Interpretation

Geography Effects

		Robust		
ln_imports	Coef.	Std. Err	t	P> t
ln_dist	-1.277118	0.0244743	-52.18	0
contig	0.8106201	0.1359654	5.96	0

- A 1% increase in the distance between markets is associated with just over a 1% decrease in bilateral trade.
- Sharing a common border is associated with about a 120% increase in bilateral trade ($e^{0.8} - 1 \approx 1.2$).
- Both effects are statistically significant at the 1% level, i.e. it is very unlikely that either effect is "really" zero given the data we have.

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

Interpretation

History Effects

In_imports	Coef.	Robust Std. Err	t	P> t
colony	0.9585085	0.1147203	8.36	0
comcol	1.055211	0.0829653	12.72	0
comlang_off	0.9042383	0.0593294	15.24	0

- Having once been a colony is associated with an increase in bilateral trade with the colonizer of about 160%.
- A common colonizer is associated with an increase in bilateral trade of about 190%.
- A common official language is associated with an increase in bilateral trade of about 150%.

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

Testing Compound Hypotheses: test ($x_1 = a$) ($x_2 = b$) ...

- In drawing conclusions about the statistical significance of particular parameters, we have been conducting simple hypothesis tests.
- We can also test some compound hypotheses:
 - Both GDP coefficients are zero: $F=13736.88$, $P<0.01$
 - The two GDP coefficients are both equal to one: $F=407.01$, $P<0.01$
 - All geographical and historical variables have zero coefficients: $F=866.77$, $P<0.01$

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

Assessing Model Fit and Completeness: ovtest

- Stata reports an R^2 of 0.6627, i.e. the model accounts for about $\frac{2}{3}$ of the observed variance in the log of bilateral trade.
- However, the Ramsey RESET test strongly rejects H_0 :
 - $F=206.59$
 - $\text{Pr.} < 0.01$
- This suggests that there might be more to bilateral trade than meets the eye.
- What other variables might be influencing bilateral trade, but are left out of our simple model?
- Are there any non-linearities we need to worry about?

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

Identifying “Trade Potentials”

- It is inherent in the OLS estimator that some countries trade “more” than average (positive error), while others trade “less” than average (negative error term).
- Some of the literature uses the sign and size of the error term to examine trade potential:
 - i.e. a large, negative error suggests that there is a lot more countries could be trading together based on their economic and geographical fundamentals: something must be holding them back

A Simple OLS Gravity Model

Identifying “Trade Potentials”

- Keep in mind the following when examining trade potentials:
 - The e_{ij} term includes (possibly) some information about trade potential, but also statistical noise and measurement error
 - How do we interpret large, positive e_{ij} ? (Advanced: consider a stochastic frontier model!)
- Use trade potentials to get a first idea of what is going on with particular trade relationships, then work down into the details of what is holding back trade.

Summary

- The basic gravity model is a sensible, intuitive place to start.
- When estimating, always:
 - Use a robust variance-covariance estimator
 - Adjust the standard errors for clustering at the highest level of aggregation in the data. Usually, clustering by country pair is sufficient in gravity models.
- The trick is in getting OLS to “talk”, i.e. tell us interesting things about the determinants of bilateral trade.